

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/703,037	KHATIBZADEH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean B Corrielus	2637

All Participants:

(1) Jean B Corrielus.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Mitchell S. Bigel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3- 5, 7, 18, 21, 23-25, 29 and 36 and specification

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's rep. agrees to amend the above claims as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary to overcome a potential claims rejection so as to advance prosecution. i in addition, page 4, lines 3-13, page 6, lines 12-27 and page 10, 18-32, have rewritten to remove pencil marks.